

Serial No. 10/790,968
Attorney Docket No. RS@W92004009US1
Response to Office Action mailed 2/23/2006

II. REMARKS.

The examiner rejected claims 1-34 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erb (US 2004/0142703) in view of Burgess (US 2006/0094404). Applicant has amended each of the independent claims to include the limitation "wherein the action comprises a plurality of telephone behaviors." Erb discloses an apparatus and method for controlling the delivery of an incoming call, but does not address the behavior of the phone. Burgess discloses a communications controller that "manages and controls incoming communications ...," but in doing so, merely determines whether or not an incoming call is passed to a communication device. [0054] Neither Erb or Burgess, singly or in combination, disclose controlling the behavior of a telephone where an action by the phone comprises multiple telephone behaviors. Support for the amendment can be found in paragraph [0035] of applicant's specification.

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. 571-273-8300 on Oct. 9, 2006

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate: Rudolf O. Siegesmund

Signature: Rudolf O. Siegesmund

Respectfully submitted,

Rudolf O. Siegesmund
Rudolf O. Siegesmund
Registration No. 37,720
Gordon & Rees LLP
Suite 2650
2100 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75201
214-460-4050
214-460-4053 (fax)
rsiegesmund@gordonrees.com
Attorney for Applicant